	Case 3:08-cr-01666-W Document 10	Filed 06/18/2008 Page 1 of 2					
1	BRIDGET KENNEDY California State Bar No. 253416						
2	FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC. 225 Broadway, Suite 900						
3	San Diego, California 92101-5030 Telephone (619) 234-8467 Facsimile (619) 687-2666 Bridget_Kennedy@fd.org						
4							
5	Attorneys for Andres Peyes-Sanchez						
7	Attorneys for Andres Reyes-Sanchez						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	(HONORABLE TH	OMAS J. WHELAN)					
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.08CR1666-TJW					
12	Plaintiff,	DATE: June 23, 2008 TIME: 9:00 a.m.					
13	v.	) NOTICE OF MOTIONS AND MOTIONS TO:					
14	ANDRES REYES-SANCHEZ,	(1) COMPEL DISCOVERY AND PRESERVE EVIDENCE; and					
15	Defendant.	GRANT LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS					
16							
17	TO: KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY JAMES P. MELENDRES, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY						
18							
19	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 23, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as counsel may						
20	be heard, defendant, Andres Reyes-Sanchez, by and through his attorneys, Bridget Kennedy, and Federal						
21	Defenders of San Diego, Inc., will ask this Court to	enter an order granting the following motions.					
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28		08CR1666-TJW					
		33 ZZZZ 33 Z T 11					

	Case 3.06-ci-01666-W Document 10 Filed 06/16/2006 Page 2 0i 2			
1	<u>MOTIONS</u>			
2	Defendant, Andres Reyes-Sanchez, by and through Counsel, Bridget Kennedy, and Federal			
3	Defenders of San Diego, Inc., asks this Court pursuant to the United States Constitution, the Federal Rules			
4	of Criminal Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, case law, and local rules for an order to:			
5	(1) Compel Discovery and Preserve Evidence;			
6	(2) Grant Leave to File Further Motions			
7	This motion is based upon the instant motion and notice of motion, the attached statement of facts			
8	and memorandum of points and authorities, the files and records in the above-captioned matter, and any and			
9	all other materials that may come to this Court's attention prior to or during the hearing of these motions.			
10	Respectfully submitted,			
11	/n : 1 , 12			
12	Dated: June 17, 2008  BRIDGET KENNEDY  Fig. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			
13	Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc. Bridget_Kennedy@fd.org			
14	Attorneys for Mr. Reyes-Sanchez			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	08CR1666-TIW			

	Case 3.06-cr-01666-vv Document 1	J-Z	Filed 06/16/2006	Page 1 01 6	
1 2 3 4 5	BRIDGET KENNEDY California State Bar No. 253416 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC 225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101-5030 Telephone (619) 234-8467 Facsimile (619) 687-2666 Bridget_Kennedy@fd.org  Attorneys for Andres Reyes-Sanchez	1			
7					
8	UNITED STAT	ES DIS	TRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	(HONORABLE THOMAS J. WHELAN)				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Ca	ase No. 08CR1666-T.	JW	
12	Plaintiff,		ATE: JUNE 23, 2008 ME: 9:00 a.m.	1	
13 14 15	v. ANDRES REYES-SANCHEZ, Defendant.	) Ol	CATEMENT OF FAC F POINTS AND AU F MOTIONS OF AN	THORITIES IN S	SUPPORT
16 17		I.			
18	STATEM	ENT O	F FACTS <sup>1</sup>		
19	The government asserts that on April 22, 2008, Mr. Reyes-Sanchez made a false statement of				
20	material fact by representing to a federal officer that he was born in the year 1970, when he knew that it was				
21	false.				
22	//				
23	//				
24	//				
25					
26 27 28	The following statement of facts and any facts further cited in this motion are based on the government's 18 U.S.C. § 1001 information against Mr. Reyes-Sanchez. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez in no way admits the truth of these facts nor their accuracy as cited in these motions. Further, he reserves the right to challenge the truth and accuracy of these facts in any subsequent pleadings or during any further proceedings.				
				08CR	1666-TJW

## THIS COURT SHOULD COMPEL DISCOVERY AND ORDER THE PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE

II.

At the time of this filing, the government has provided Mr. Reyes-Sanchez with 22 pages of discovery.

Mr. Reyes-Sanchez moves for the production by the government of the following discovery and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items of which the prosecutor knows, but rather includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989).

- The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to Mr. Reyes-Sanchez all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements (even if not written or recorded) made by him which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the Government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; and any other statements by the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.
- 2. Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes and TECS records that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning be turned over to him. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are available 08CR1666-TJW

- under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B) and (C), Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2 and 12(i). Preservation of rough notes is requested, whether or not the government deems them discoverable.
- 3. <u>Brady Material</u>. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Impeachment and exculpatory evidence both fall within <u>Brady's</u> definition of evidence favorable to the accused. <u>United States v. Bagley</u>, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).
- 4. <u>Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence Under the Guidelines</u>. The government must produce this information under <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).
- 5. <u>The Defendant's Prior Record.</u> Evidence of a prior record is available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(D). Counsel specifically requests a complete copy of any criminal record.
- 6. Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. To the extent that there is any such evidence, the government must produce evidence of prior similar acts under Fed. R. Crim. P. 404(b) and "shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature" of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Crim. P. 404(b) at trial. See United States v. Vega, 188 F. 3d 1150, 1154-1155 (9th Cir. 1999). Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial in order to give the defense time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.
- 7. <u>Evidence Seized</u>. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E).
- 8. Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defense specifically requests that all dispatch tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, individuals, the results of any fingerprint analysis, the defendant's personal effects, the vehicle, and any other evidence seized from the defendant or any third party. It is requested that the government be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does exist to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

- 9. Henthorn Material. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests that the Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") 1 2 assigned to this case oversee (not personally conduct) a review of all personnel files of each agent 3 involved in the present case, and produce to him any exculpatory information and impeachment material at least two weeks prior to trial and one week prior to the motion hearing. See Kyles v. 4 5 Whitley, 514 U.S. 437, 438 (1995) (holding that "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any 6 favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the 7 police"); United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991); see also United States v. Jennings, 8 960 F.2d 1488 (9th Cir. 1992) (AUSA may not be ordered to personally conduct examination of 9 records; appropriate government agency may review files and notify AUSA of contents as long as 10 AUSA makes the determination regarding material to be disclosed); <u>United States v. Herring</u>, 83 11 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 1996) (accord). In addition, the defendant requests that if the government is 12 uncertain whether certain information is to be turned over pursuant to this request, that it produce 13 such information to the Court in advance of the trial and the motion hearing for an in camera 14 inspection.
  - 10. <u>Tangible Objects</u>. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests the opportunity to inspect, copy, and test, as necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, alleged narcotics, fingerprint analyses, vehicles, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense or intended for use in the government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(E). Specifically, Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests copies of his immigration file as well as any recordings of his alleged prior removal.
  - 11. Expert Witnesses. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests the name, qualifications, and a written summary of the testimony of any person that the government intends to call as an expert witness during its case in chief. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G). Defendant requests the notice of expert testimony be provided at a minimum of two weeks prior to trial so that the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to this testimony, including obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions, credentials of the government's expert and a hearing in advance of trial to determine the admissibility of qualifications of any expert. See Kumho v. Carmichael Tire Co., 526 U.S. 137, 119

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

		Case 3.06-ci-01666-vv
1		S.Ct. 1167, 1176 (1999) (trial judge is "gatekeeper" and must determine, reliability and relevancy
2		of expert testimony and such determinations may require "special briefing or other proceedings").
3	12.	Impeachment Evidence. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests any evidence that any prospective government
4		witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether any
5		witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613; <u>Brady</u>
6		v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); <u>United States v. Strifler</u> , 851 F.2d 1197, 1201-1202 (9th Cir. 1988);
7		Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1965).
8	13.	Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests any
9		evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for
10		any criminal conduct. <u>United States v. Chitty</u> , 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).
11	14.	Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests any evidence that any prospective
12		government witness is biased or prejudiced against him, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or
13		her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39, 57-58 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d
14		1197, 1201-1202 (9th Cir. 1988).
15	15.	Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Truth Tellinghe defense
16		requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, that tends to show
17		that any prospective witness' ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is
18		impaired, and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or
19		has ever been an alcoholic. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197, 1201-1202 (9th Cir. 1988).
20	16.	Witness Addresses. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests the name and last known address of each
21		prospective government witness. See United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979)
22		(defense counsel has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last
23		known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed
24		in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. <u>United States v. Cadet</u> , 727
25		F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).
26	17.	Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests the name of any
27		witness who made an arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not
28		identify him or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime charged.  08CR1666-TJW

19.

- Jencks Act Material. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests production in advance of trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, which the government must produce pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2. Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay at trial to allow the defendant to investigate the Jencks material. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under § 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963). In United States v. Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) the Ninth Circuit held that when an agent goes over interview notes with the subject of the interview the notes are then subject to the Jencks Act. See also United States v. Riley, 189 F.3d 802, 806-808 (9th Cir. 1999). Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests pre-trial disclosure of such statements to avoid unnecessary recesses and delays for defense counsel to properly use any Jencks statements and prepare for cross examination.
- 20. Giglio Information & Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests all statements and/or promises, express or implied, made to any witness, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could be used for impeachment.
- 21. Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses ... Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests discovery regarding any express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future compensation, or any other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective government witness and the government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with a potential witness about or advice concerning any contemplated prosecution, or any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made, or the advice not followed.
- 22. <u>Informants and Cooperating Witnesses</u>. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests disclosure of the names and addresses of all informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular, disclosure of any informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in

08CR1666-TJW

the crime charged against Mr. Reyes-Sanchez. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as disclose the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957). The government must disclose any information derived from informants which exculpates or tends to exculpate the defendant.

- 23. <u>Bias by Informants or Cooperating Witnesses</u>. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. <u>Giglio v. United States</u>, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, payments or threats were made to the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.
- 24. Personnel Records of Government Officers Involved in the Arrest. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests all citizen complaints and other related internal affairs documents involving any of the immigration officers or other law enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of Defendant. See Pitchess v. Superior Court, 11 Cal. 3d 531, 539 (1974). Because of the sensitive nature of these documents, defense counsel will be unable to procure them from any other source.
- 25. <u>Training of Relevant Law Enforcement Officers</u>. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez requests copies of all written, videotaped or otherwise recorded policies or training instructions or manuals issued by all law enforcement agencies involved in the case (United States Customs Service, Border Patrol, DHS, Imperial Beach Sheriff's Department, etc.) to their employees regarding: (1) the informing of suspects of their Constitutional rights; (2) the questioning of suspects and witnesses.
- 26. Residual Request. Mr. Reyes-Sanchez intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights to discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Constitution and laws of the United States. The defendant requests that the government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in advance of trial to avoid unnecessary delay prior to cross examination.

26 //

27 //

//

2	BRIDGET KENNEDY California State Bar No. 253416 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC. 225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, California 92101-5030 Telephone (619) 234-8467 Facsimile (619) 687-2666 bridget_kennedy@fd.org					
6	Attorneys for Mr. Reyes-Sanchez					
7	,					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	(HONORABLE THOMAS J. WHELAN)					
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 08CR1666-TJW				
12	Plaintiff,					
13	) v.	PROOF OF SERVICE				
14	ANDRES REYES-SANCHEZ,					
15	Defendant.					
16	)					
17	Counsel for Defendant certifies that	the foregoing pleading is true and accurate to the best of				
18	her information and belief, and that a copy of the fo	oregoing document has been served via CM/ECF this day				
19	upon:					
20	JAMES MELENDRES Assistant United States Attorney joseph.orabona@usdoj.gov,glory.rascon@usdoj.gov,efile.dkt.gc1@usdoj.gov					
21						
22	Dated: June 18, 2008	s/Bridget L. Kennedy BRIDGET L. KENNEDY				
23		BRIDGET L. KENNEDY Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.,				
24		225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101-5030				
25		(619) 234-8467 (tel) (619) 687-2666 (fax)				
26		e-mail:bridget_kennedy@fd.org				
27						
28						